Remarks

The Examiner held Claims 16-21 to be allowable. Applicants have amended Claims 16 and 19 to correct typographical errors. No substantive changes have be requested with respect to the allowed claims.

Claims 1, 3, 8 10 and 13-15 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting. Applicants have executed a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate this provisional rejection. The appropriate Terminal Disclaimer is included with this response.

Finally, Claims 6, 7 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. Claim 6 has been amended to correct the reference to "publically accessible digital data base management system". The claim now properly references "publicly accessible digital data communication network". Applicants have also corrected the error in Claim 7, which now references "command language scripted store procedure", as opposed to the improperly referenced "command language script". Claim 11 has been canceled with this response.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 9 and 12 were objected to because they depended upon rejected claims, but the Examiner noted that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 2 depends upon Claim 1, Claim 4 depends upon Claim 3, and Claim 5 depends upon Claim 4. Claims 1 and 3 are now believed to be allowable in light of the Terminal Disclaimer being filed with this response. Consequently, this objection with respect to Claims 2, 4 and 5 is believed to be moot. Claim 9 is dependent upon Claims 6, 7 and 8. The deficiencies in Claims 6, 7 and 8 have been cured by the Applicants with this response – Claim 9 is now believed to be allowable.

Serial No. 10/849,511 Attorney Docket No. RA 5566

Conclusion

In view of the requested amendments to the claims, the concurrently filed Terminal Disclaimer and the remarks set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that all pending Claims (1-10 and 16-21) are in condition for allowance as presently presented. Therefore, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. In the Examiner has questions or comments regarding any of the foregoing, a call to the undersigned is encouraged and welcomed.

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert P. Marley/

Robert P. Marley Attorney for Applicants Reg. No.: 32,914

Phone: (215) 986-5462

e-mail: robert.marley@unisys.com

Unisys Corporation 801 Lakeview Drive Blue Bell, PA 19422